

**ST. BERNARD CITY COUNCIL MEETING
NOVEMBER 16, 2006**

The regular meeting of the St. Bernard City Council was held Thursday, November 16, 2006 in Council Chambers.

President of Council, Mr. Curtis Walden – The meeting was opened with a prayer followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

Roll call showed that six members were present: Ms. Hausfeld, Mr. Asbach, Mr. Schildmeyer, Mrs. Brickweg, Mr. Meier, Mr. Zix.

Mr. Asbach made a motion to suspend with the reading of the minutes. Ms Hausfeld seconded the motion. Council agreed 6-0.

REPORTS OF CITY OFFICIALS

MAYOR, Mr. Estep – We contacted Bob Sawtell, he attended the COW meeting on October 2nd and shared some ideas to revitalize the Chamber of Commerce. Bob and I have been playing phone tag, as well as Mike Schildmeyer the last day or so trying to set an appointment time to meet before he sends the letter he has written to the business community. I'm confident we'll have the opportunity to get together before next COW where I can give you final details

Concerning flu shots: residents who are house bound due to health issues should call Laura Schmitt at 242-7770 (x25) to get on the list for the first round of flu shots. If Laura is out of the office, please leave her a voice mail so she can get you on the list. Shortly thereafter a health care professional from HCHD will coordinate a home visit to administer the vaccine. The first round of vaccine goes to those at greatest risk of flu. There may, or may not be a fee for the shot depending on an individuals insurance coverage. More information on this is outlined in a handout prepared by the Health Department which will be at the front window of City Hall.

There will be a clinic for ambulatory residents on Wednesday, November 29th, between 10:00 A.M and 2 P.M. in the Recreation Office (that's the old Dial-A-Ride Office). There may or may not be a charge for the vaccine depending on an individuals health care coverage. Charges will be determined the day of the clinic.

I know the turkey isn't in the oven yet, but schedules are so hectic around the holidays, it's not too early to begin to pencil in a few dates. Parents of young children will want to know when Santa is coming to St. Bernard. The mail box with letters for Santa will be put up on November 27th in front of City hall. It will remain there until December 13th. Every letter that has a return address will receive a personal reply from Santa.

Then on Sunday, December 17th from 3:00 P.M. to 5:00 P.M., youngsters can visit Santa at City Hall. We'll have a magic show for entertainment and cookies for the kids. Parents should bring their cameras so they can snap a photo of their children with Santa. So mark your calendar and plan to bring the kids to visit Santa on Sunday, December 17th.

AUDITOR, Mr. St. Clair – The report for the City's investments have been distributed to Council and the Administration.

Before Council tonight is Ordinance Number 37, 2006. As discussed at the COW, this Ordinance makes appropriation adjustments in the General Fund for the Fire Department and Health Department. Moving \$200 from Fire Department stationary and printing to Fire Department equipment and \$200 from Health Department Vaccine Program to Health Department clerk. This Ordinance also adjusts appropriations in the Employee Health Plan Fund. Increasing Service Department medical expenses by \$50,000 with corresponding decreases to the three dental/vision plans: \$20,000 for the Service Department, \$20,000 for the Fire Department, and \$10,000 for the Police Department.

After reading the minutes from the last Council meeting, I would like to take some time at the next Council meeting, and, with the most current Auditor's reports, explain some of the relationships among the various funds and their respective cash accounts and some of the terminology involved.

DIRECTOR OF LAW, Mr. Geiser – One new Ordinance No. 28, 2006 is before you tonight as requested at COW, this is to approve the collective bargaining unit with the Service Dept.

TREASURER, Mr. Kathman – No report.

SAFETY DIRECTOR, Mr. Stuchell - Yesterday I met with Aaron Littman, a Traffic Engineer and Project Manager for TEC Engineering about the issues we are having with Ross Ave. I set this meeting up at the request of Council so that we could get an idea of what a comprehensive traffic and parking study would cost the City. Aaron will have a quote for us by next week which will include a detailed outline of what the study would involve and also some possible funding options.

There may be some grant money available and other state funds that could be contributed at some point so I pass this information on to you all as soon as I get it. His study would involve a comprehensive parking inventory to determine the overall capacity of Ross Ave., traffic counts to determine volume, accident data including parked cars and at the intersections of our cross streets, and also considerations given for future development that might increase the volume and also the future construction on I-75.

Upon the completion of the project they will offer recommendations on their findings for the improvement, funding options for the implementation of a plan, and future guidance with the project. This comprehensive study will probably be in the neighborhood of \$20,000 to \$25,000 but I will not have an exact quote until our next COW meeting. If we can come up with the funding I would recommend such a study because I only see the problem getting worse with future development and the I-75 project.

Regardless of this study we will still make a commitment to Ross Ave. to try to make it safer!

SERVICE DIRECTOR, Mr. Kempe – I recommend adoption of Ordinance No. 38, 2006 accepting and approving the collective bargaining agreement between the City and the Service Department Association.

I also want to remind all citizens that next Thursday is Thanksgiving, which is a holiday for City employees. There will be no trash or recyclable pick up next Thursday, November 23rd. The normal Thursday pick up will be moved up one day to Wednesday. The Friday trash and recyclable pick up will not change.

TAX COMMISSIONER, Mrs. Williams – No report.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

FINANCE, Mr. Zix – The Finance committee would like recommend Ordinance No. 37 and 38 for passage. Also, the Finance Committee is continually working on the budget and the pay Ordinance and benefit Ordinance and we'll hopefully have it ready for review at the next COW.

SAFETY, Mr. Burkhardt – No report.

SERVICE, Mr. Meier – I just would like to make a couple of comments. I know that most of the people have had their leaves down and I know the Service Dept. has done a good job getting some tremendous piles of leaves from the end of our cul-de-sac, I would just like to remind people to get their leaves out there prior to the snow season. Also, I would like to comment that I've noticed that a little bit more visibility of the Police in our City lately and I definitely appreciate that.

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, Mr. Asbach – The Committee will meet on Thursday, Nov. 30th at 6:30 to discuss a newspaper rack ordinance that Mr. Geiser gave to all of Council as well as what Council wishes to do with 618 E. Ross Ave.

LAWS, CONTRACTS & CLAIMS, Ms. Hausfeld – My Committee met last week and discussed the canvassing and soliciting license that we have on the book. We came up with an Ordinance that we liked, we gave that Ordinance to Chief Moeller and we are waiting to hear back from him to see if he has anything to add or subtract from that.

Also, Peggy and I met with Gerry and Ed Geiser to discuss property maintenance codes and blighted codes and the fines and we're looking into that and we will get back with Council also on that.

BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, Mr. Schildmeyer – As John did earlier, I want to thank Bob Sawtell of the First Safety Bank for stopping in at the Committee of the Whole meeting last week and giving Council an update on a letter the Chamber is sending out to business community.

He also, last year worked very hard on holding the Chamber of Commerce together. I hope, with the letter sent out inviting businesses to join the Chamber of Commerce and becoming an active participant, can jump start ideas for future development for City growth.

The Chamber of Commerce group in St. Bernard would provide its members with opportunities to grow and prosper enhancing the economic health of the entire community. The Chamber members, through networking within the group and exchanging ideas to promote business and serving local needs with service and job opportunities. This is only a win, win situation. I'm glad that Bob picked it up and started to run with it, I hope the best to them, him and Mr. Don Tobertge who also helped out over the last year. I understand, Glenna McCarty put a notice into the website too that is an introduction to the letter and to the businesses and to the opportunities that will be expressed in that letter.

Also, I wanted to thank Betty Bischak with the Senior Citizens for their participation in the “Can Good Drive”. It closed up on the 15th and Kiwanis will be picking up the can good and the financial donations given and presenting them and putting together and presenting them as baskets and gifts throughout the holiday season.

HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION – I delivered letters to Ross Ave. residents regarding speeding and their cars being hit. I have received responses from many of the residents and I want to give Council and the Administration a brief update on their thoughts. The majority of the citizens are very happy to see that the City is working to do something to eliminate this huge problem. Many Ross Ave. residents feel the solutions to the problem is getting more Police on Ross and have them writing speeding tickets. One kind resident took the time to write me a letter which went into great detail and I would like to read that letter tonight.

Dear Mrs. Brickweg,

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the above subject. Ross Ave. speeding. It is nice to know that this “problem” is again being addressed. We have lived at the above Ross Ave. address for 35 years and the speeding “problem” has evaded any lasting solution. This amazes me because there are numerous areas all over Hamilton County (i.e. Mariemont, Wyoming, etc.) where the word is “out to observe the speed limits or you will be caught, ticketed and fined (no warnings). The obvious question is” How did these communities gain such respect? The answer is that at some point they “earned” it by making a prolonged commitment to total enforcement of the laws and speed limits. Only our Police Dept. can do this. Our citizens’ can help because many of them exceed speed limits all over St. Bernard. You made a comment that the important thing is to fix this problem for the residents of Ross. I submit this would be for all or the residents of St. Bernard.

Regarding a few ideas you listed in your letter; park an empty cruiser on Ross, this would be the easiest to do, but how effective? People would figure this out that it is empty almost immediately, and if not monitored, what is the point?

The idea of parking on only one side of the street; best idea, but still need more speed limit signs. Painting white lines to show the lanes all the way down the street, we already have broken white lines. Roughed up concrete in the parking lane, this involves some costs and not very eye appealing.. How would this even help the speeding? Parking Lots on City owned property: Better use is a “house” on an empty lot. Closing the side streets; This would probably initiate WWII in ST. Bernard. Policemen on Ross for full shifts; way too costly, but it would surely be effective during “rush” hours.

We wish you success with this endeavor and hope that someone with the authority will give “careful consideration” to the “problem”.

Very truly yours,

JoAnn M. Condon @ 712 ½ E. Ross Ave.

I also want to thank the Safety Director, Jonathan Stuchell and the Police Chief Moeller, for working with the residents and myself to come up with

some lasting solutions. I want to encourage more residents of Ross to contact me with their ideas and concerns. The more we hear from the residents, the better we can solve this problem. I'd also like to wish everyone a "Happy Thanksgiving".

Mr. Asbach – The Committee of the Whole Report has been submitted to the Clerk.

Committee of the Whole
Nov. 9, 2006

1. Council approved the minutes of the Nov. 2nd Council meeting , 7-0.
2. Jennifer and John from JMA showed Council the proposed gateway entrance to be built at the opening to the shopping center on Vine St. by the post office. Council agreed 7-0 to go out for bids. The money to come from Community Block Grant Funds.
2. Mr. Sautell gave Council his ideas on how to bring back the Chamber of Commerce. Mr. Sautell will be sending out letters to businesses to try to get more interest and involvement.
4. Mayor, John Estep, no report.
5. Auditor, Walter St. Clair
 - A. Council agreed 7-0 to put an ord. on the table for appropriation adjustment in the Employee Health Care and the Health Dept.
6. Director of Law, Edward Geiser
 - A. Mr. Geiser gave Council a draft of the ord. covering news racks around the City. Council also voted 7-0 to allow Mr. Geiser to use a City printer at his home for City use.
7. Safety Director, Jonathan Stuchell
 - A. Informed Council that they are still working on the new eligibility list for the Police Dept. They are also working on hiring more dispatchers.
8. Service Director, Joe Kempe
 - A. Council voted 7-0 to go into Executive Session to discuss contract negotiations.
9. Tax Commissioner, Sheri Williams
 - A. Sheri explained the spike in earned income for the month of Oct. and what to expect for next year.
10. Laws, Contracts, & Claims, Patty Hausfeld
 - A. After some discussion on blighted properties, Council agreed to put this in committee. The committee will be looking at increasing the fines for code violations.
11. Public Improvements, Steve Asbach, no report.
12. Business & Industry, Mike Schildmeyer, no report.

13. Safety, Bill Burkhardt, no report.

14. Highways & Transportation, Peggy Brickweg

A. Peggy informed Council about the feed back she is getting from the letter she put out to the residents on Ross Ave. that deals with the speed and parking issues.

B. Dr. Ramonos will give the \$16,000.00 back to the City and work on a billing system for his work.

15. Service, Kevin Meier, no report.

16. Finance, Greg Zix.

A. Greg informed Council that they are working on the budget for 2007.

17. Council voted 7-0 to put an ord on the table excepting the new Service Dept. Contract.

Respectfully submitted,
Bill Burkhardt
President of Council, Pro-Tem

COMMUNICATIONS – None.

RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES

Motion by Mr. Asbach, seconded by Mr. Schildmeyer to read tonight's Resolutions and Ordinances by title only. Motion passed 7-0.

ORDINANCE NO. 31, 2006, THIRD READING. CREATING A CITY WIDE RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT AREA AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Motion by Mr. Asbach, seconded by Mr. Meier to adopt Ordinance No. 31, 2006 as read. Motion passed, 6-0.

ORDINANCE NO. 37, 2006, AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE AUDITOR TO ADJUST CERTAIN APPROPRIATIONS AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Motion by Mr. Asbach, seconded by Mr. Meier to suspend with the second and third reading of Ordinance No. 37, 2996. Motion passed 6-0.

Motion by Mrs. Brickweg, seconded by Ms. Hausfeld to adopt Ordinance No. 37, 2006. Motion passed, 6-0.

Mr. Geiser - Before we read Ordinance No. 38, 2006, I just have one quick correction. A lot of times, for some reason, Carol does a great job putting these Ordinances together, a lot of times it's cutting and pasting from old Ordinances. In the language where it says, **BE IT ORDAINED BY COUNCIL, THE CITY OF ST. BERNARD, TWO THIRDS OF THE MEMBERS CONCURRING**; I don't believe it's two thirds, I think it's

simple majority and I just went down to get the book to make sure. A lot of times it gets left over from old Ordinances and gets copied in there, but I want to make it clear, you just need a simple majority. That should be members, just take out two thirds, the members concurring.

Motion by Mr. Meier, seconded by Mr. Schildmeyer to amend Ordinance No. 38, 2006 by removing the language that says two third of the members thereof concurring. Motion to amend passed 6-0.

ORDINANCE NO. 38, 2006, ACCEPTING AND APPROVING THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT MADE BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF ST. BERNARD AND ST. BERNARD SERVICE DEPARTMENT ASSOCIATION AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Motion by Mrs. Brickweg, seconded by Mr. Schildmeyer to adopt Ordinance No. 38, 2006 as amended as read. Motion passed 6-0.

OLD BUSINESS

Mr. Meier – With the passage of Ordinance No. 31, 2006, one of the things that is going to be necessary to do is to form a council to handle the property tax abatement. What I'd like is if there are any citizens interested in serving on this council, there will be citizens wanted by council, there needs to be people appointed by the Mayor, and those people in turn will appoint the other members of this council. What I'd like is if there is anybody interested in serving on this committee that they should probably contact Mr. Asbach so that the Home Improvements Committee can gather the names, represent them to Council and then Mr. Estep, you'll have the opportunity to select some people as well, so I'd like to encourage people that if you would like to get involved in your City and do something, please forward you name to either Mr. Estep or to Mr. Asbach.

Mr. Zix – Last week we talked in detail about blighted property. I would like to invite the citizens to either call me at home at 242-1827, or call City Hall at 242-7770 and report any properties that they feel are in violation of our property codes.

Mrs. Brickweg – Tuesday night Ms. Hausfeld, Gerry Wiedman, Ed Geiser and I met to review our city's property maintenance codes and to come up with ways to make them tougher and have stricter enforcement. During the discussion we spoke about blighted property and how it can be used to assist our City. We discussed what occurred in Norwood when the blighted a neighborhood of property and then were sued by some of the home owners. It appears the situation in Norwood confuses people and actually deters some from wanting to use the aid of blighting property to help improve the City. As a member of First Suburbs we have followed the Norwood case and Ohio's new Eminent Domain Task Force closely. Many First Suburbs realize that the potential using Eminent Domain and Blighted Property to fix up their cities. I am in no way an expert on this but I have been following it very closely and I will do my best to give a brief explanation of what happened. The big difference that happened with the Norwood case according to the law suit were that the properties were taken for the main

purpose of economic development and that the properties in the neighborhood were taken because the City found it to be a deteriorating area. The court ruled that an area that one day may be blighted can hardly be legitimate grounds for property seizures. None of the homes or businesses in this area were dilapidated or delinquent on taxes. One of the results of the Norwood law suit is that the State started the Eminent Domain Task Force to study and rewrite major laws to better define when the government has the right to take blighted property. The Task Force came up with the following definitions of blight with the intention that they apply whenever the term “blight” is used in Revised Code, so maybe this can add to what Mr. Zix said and this will give the people an idea if when “blight” can be used. Blighted Area means the following: This is for everything except agricultural land.

An area in which at least 50% of the parcels are blighted parcels and those blighted parcels substantially impair or arrest the sound growth of the State or political subdivision of the State, retard the provision of housing accommodations, constitute an economical or social liability, or are a menace to the public health, safety, morals or welfare in their present condition and use.

An area that does not fall into the category but is blighted within the definition of blight is any property that is applicable to a Federal Program for renewal or redevelopment and the area qualifies for and receives federal funding.

That was a lot of legal mumbo jumbo, but here are some easier terms that I think make it a lot easier to understand.

A blighted parcel means a parcel that has one or more of the following:

- Conditions that constitute a nuisance,
- Environmental contamination,
- Vermin infestation,
- Tax or special assessment delinquency exceeding the fair value of the land.

On the following it would be blighted if two or more of the following conditions exist:

- Dilapidation and deterioration,
- Age and obsolescence,
- Inadequate provision for ventilation, light, air, sanitation or open spaces,
- Unsafe and unsanitary conditions,
- Hazards that endanger lives or property by fire or other causes,
- Non compliance with building, housing, and other codes,
- Non working or disconnected utilities,
- Is a vacant lot or contains an abandoned structure,
- Excessive dwelling unit density,
- Location in an area of defective or inadequate street layout,
- Overcrowding of buildings on land,
- Faulty lot layout in relation to size, adequacy, accessibility or usefulness,
- Incompatible land use or a use that creates land use relationships that cannot be reasonably corrected through enforcement of existing zoning codes or other land use regulations,
- Extensive damage or destruction caused by a major disaster when the damage has not been fixed within a reasonable time

Identified hazards to health and safety that are conducive to ill health, transmission of disease, juvenile delinquency or crime

Ownership or multiple ownership of a single parcel when the owner or a majority of the owners of a parcel in the case of multiple ownership, cannot be located

Defective or unusual conditions of title

I am not saying the only way to fix up our City is through the use of declaring property blighted and using eminent domain, but we need to understand every tool we have and use them when they are appropriate. I also think it would be important for us consult with professionals that specialize in these fields and work on future procedures that our City could use.

Ms. Hausfeld – This is sort of going along with everything we discussed at the meeting the other night and I guess I'd like to be put on the committee of whole agenda to discuss with Council and Greg, especially your Committee. I think this is the right time for us to put some money aside for different properties that are going downward. Maybe some that are on the Sheriff's auction, stuff like that, just so that we have the money ready to go in case Gerry's department feels that it would be a good idea to purchase one of these. That's not saying that they still wouldn't have to come to Council to get the approval to do it but maybe since we're working on the budget now, maybe it would be a good time to put some money aside so that we have it ready and we won't have to do additional appropriations for it. The money that I'm talking about would strictly be used for buying up either blighted property or auctioned homes and stuff like that so that we can start moving in a positive direction with some of these properties that are going in a downward motion. It was just something that was discussed at this meeting and I think it would be a good time especially since you're working on the budget if we could come up with even a dollar amount to fund that and move forward from there.

Mr. Estep – Thank you for that, Patty, that was one of the topics on the agenda at last week's department heads meeting. We're going to be asking the Finance Committee to put a pretty large chunk of money in that line item so we'll have money available instead of being reactive, we'll be a little bit more proactive. So if we come to that situation we'll have the money available. Again we have watch how far we go and throw that money out there. We want to be very frugal with this amount that will be given by the Finance Committee, hopefully.

Ms. Hausfeld – This money would strictly be used buying up properties that Gerry's dept. feels that needs to be bought up. They would still have to come to Council to get approval for it first.

Mr. Zix – Thank you, Ms. Hausfeld, Joe did, through the recommendation, Joe did put that on the budget so we will review it and bring it there. I still think, I don't know if it's a funny suggestion or not, I still think we should pick a top ten group of houses or properties we want to go after. If it be the Top Ten List, these are the ones we want and go after them and get them or have a plan in place that we might go after areas of property.

NEW BUSINESS

Ms. Hausfeld – Just before we adjourn, I want to make sure I wish everyone in St. Bernard a Happy and Safe Thanksgiving next week.

AUDIENCE WISHING TO ADDRESS COUNCIL

Charlie Vonder Muelen, 4306 Greenlee Ave. – Mr. President, Mayor, members of Council, I'm just here to address, Sunday, I had my cup of coffee, scanning the TV and along comes this \$2,500.00 basketball court down at the Fire House. I keep thinking that we're telling people that we're in financial strife here in this City and I see a waste there and I kind of got the feeling as people were squirming up there and trying to defend this, that even the Council members were not aware of this until it already happened. I really feel that on these line items that dept. heads put their appropriations in, if they keep jockeying them around, they become more of a slush fund. What I'm saying is you really have to watch these line items so that they stick to them, if they come to your budget meetings and they're going to budget certain things for a certain line item, make sure they stick to that and if they don't, don't let them spend that money some place else because you're only going to be faced with it the next year. I was talking to a firefighter friend of mine from another community, they also have basketball courts up and the City made them take them down because of injuries. Now I know Norm said something like you just throw a basketball up and send it through a hoop but boys and guys, when we get out there, we might start out playing "horse", we might start off playing "21", but then all of a sudden we get a little competitive and we like to play this "one on one" and so it can lead to an injury and lost time and again it's going to cost the taxpayer some more money.

One other thing, I don't know about this Wellness Program, from what I heard just at that meeting on TV, they buy exercise equipment, we buy new clothing allowance, they do all this, and I don't know if it was \$7,000 or \$17,000, it just sounds like a heck of a lot of money to put into a program of a City that's supposed to be in some kind of financial strife. I think you really ought to take long looks at the way your dept. heads are spending their money.

The other thing I looked at is these check you speed signs up, you know these little signs that light up tell me I'm going 25mph. You know I've got a speedometer in my car that I check that tells me how fast I'm going. And I also see our Police Dept. out plenty of times teaching people what the word "stop" means at Greenlee and Church St. I also see them correcting people that don't look at their speedometers on Greenlee Ave. and on Ross Ave. I just don't see putting these 25mph signs up for me to adjust my speed when I look at it. When you're coming up Greenlee Ave., just come up there where I live, there's a little bend in that road and that sign is right up there, I can just see some car being sideswiped. It's just something that could happen, I just think it's kind of a waste.

One other thing, with the Service Dept. contract, could somebody expound on what the percentage was, what the total cost to the City is going to be, additional cost to the City is going to be? Did the City get anything back as far as health care or anything else back on fringe benefits that they now have? Just expand on what the percent increase it was and what the cost is. I know when you get down to some things, you can't really put a dollar sign

on it, I'm just saying, can you give the people kind of an idea what this contract is going to cost the City.

Mrs. Brickweg – I'll try to give you some answers on the contract but I do not agree with you on the 25mph signs, especially on Ross. If you could see the amount of accidents of people getting hit, of people's cars getting hit on that street and the speeding. It might be a little bit of a nuisance for some people but I really think its beginning to help and on Ross, there's people going 45, 55, 65mph on that street and maybe if it makes one or two to slow down from seeing that sign, somebody's car doesn't get hit, and a child doesn't get hit, I think its worth it and I know the residents of Ross really appreciate that we put those up there.

I was trying to find the contract in front of me, when you asked about the wages, the wage increase in their contract was 3% the first year, 3% the second, and 2 1/2 % the third. The Service Dept. has agreed to start paying part of their health insurance. It will be deducted out of their pay check. Bargaining unit members who subscribe to City's Health Insurance Plan will start paying biweekly, \$20.00 for single coverage, \$40.00 for family and it goes up every year \$25.00, \$45.00 and then \$30.00 and \$50.00. I also know that their drug card has gone up. Other people can probably add to that but there is just a few things that I got off the top.

Motion by Mr. Asbach, seconded by Ms Hausfeld to excuse the absent member. Motion passed 6-0.

Mr. Asbach – The next COW will be Thursday, Nov. 30th, at 7:30.

Motion by Mr. Zix, seconded by Mr. Asbach to adjourn. Motion passed 7-0.